

daleajans@gmail.com

From: Ron Z. <huntinigo@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2024 1:47 PM
To: Bjerke, Ryan (DNR); David Olig; Keith Brokke
Cc: daleajans@gmail.com; Chad Gabrielson; Roger Fenstad; Justin Carney; JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL; Rob Campbell; Chad Gabrielson; judithjohnson771@gmail.com; Cyndy Wedryk; Waylon Bertsch
Subject: Re: County Ditch 25

Good Afternoon Ryan,

This morning, with permission of the landowner (David Taylor) Chad Gabrielson and I walked through the area of the proposed repair of Ditch 25. There is standing water in the upper area of the repair area and throughout the cattails to the north, east, and west, but there is very little water in the lower part of the ditch of the repair area. No doubt that cleaning sediment out of the ditch through the upper portion of the proposed area of repair would drain water from the upper areas of the wetland basin to the lower area just before coming in to Big McDonald Lake, which would lower water levels of the upper basins to the north, east, and west of the ditch. Indications are that Mr. Taylor and Dean Cailler, who owns property surrounding the north east corner of the basin, are opposed to any excavation work in the wetland area that would lower water levels in those upper wetland areas.

Is there any allowance for drainage from those upper wetland areas that would allow a permit to be issued for the repair work? I'm hoping not, and I think this would be the opportunity to support the two landowners to petition the county for abandonment of Ditch 25 through that wetland basin area south of Cty Rd 35 and north of Big McDonald Lane. Would the DNR or the TEP group be able to provide information/data support to submit to the county to justify the partial abandonment? Would the SWCD representative have any comments with regards to the potential concern of flooding to the area along Big McDonald Lane, that channelizing Ditch 25 would bring during heavy rainfalls or snow melt from upper parts of the drainage north of Cty Rd 35? Should we hire an engineering firm to get elevation data through that area of the wetland basin to show drainage will continue and there is no benefit to repairing the ditch within the wetland basin?

I'm recommending to the Big McDonald LID board to authorize funding legal fees to prepare the petition for abandonment of the ditch for the landowners. Having support of the environmental aspects of the DNR and other members of the TEP would be helpful. Also,

Ron Zitzow

From: Ron Z. <huntinigo@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2024 12:23 PM
To: Bjerke, Ryan (DNR) <ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us>; David Olig <davidjolog@gmail.com>; Keith Brokke <rotarian.keith@gmail.com>
Cc: daleajans@gmail.com <daleajans@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <cgabrielson26@gmail.com>; Roger Fenstad <rifenstad@gmail.com>; Justin Carney <jmcarney12@gmail.com>; JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL <dostals1@msn.com>; Rob Campbell <rdcdoc01@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <chad@bigmcdonaldresort.com>; judithjohnson771@gmail.com <judithjohnson771@gmail.com>; Cyndy Wedryk <Cynd1950@aol.com>; Waylon Bertsch <waylonbertsch@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: County Ditch 25

Good Afternoon Ryan,

Word on the street is the old culvert through that field road was replaced with a larger one in 2016.

Ron Zitzow

From: Ron Z. <huntinigo@hotmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, July 20, 2024 7:28 PM

To: Bjerke, Ryan (DNR) <ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us>; David Olig <davidjolic@gmail.com>; Keith Brokke <rotarian.keith@gmail.com>

Cc: daleajans@gmail.com <daleajans@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <cgabrielson26@gmail.com>; Roger Fenstad <rlfenstad@gmail.com>; Justin Carney <jmcarney12@gmail.com>; JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL <dostals1@msn.com>; Rob Campbell <rdcdoc01@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <chad@bigmcdonaldresort.com>; judithjohnson771@gmail.com <judithjohnson771@gmail.com>; Cyndy Wedryk <Cyd1950@aol.com>; Waylon Bertsch <waylonbertsch@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: County Ditch 25

Ryan,

Thanks again for the information. It's difficult to tell in the 1939 aerial, but I have to wonder if during the drought years in the 1930's if some farming activity occurred in that area and the ditch was partially filled through the area to utilize the available dry land for agriculture. The county website doesn't provide any links, but I'm wondering if there are any records that document maintenance or repair activities from 1907 to 2016?

I don't know if the culvert replaced in the field road was resized. Not sure if the landowner will allow permission to go check it out. Could request a copy of the as-builts from the county for the Ditch 25 repair work completed in 2016.

After looking at the aerial/satellite photos I'm curious of the topography of the wetland area south of Cty Rd 35, specifically the area just to the west of the ditch and over to the water area just north of Big McDonald Lane. It looks like the water from the ditch is currently flowing through that wetland area and cleanout of the ditch would probably divert the flow from that wetland area to a more direct route to the wetland area just north of Big McDonald Lane. If the water is down, would I be able to walk down in that area to check it out, or is it private property?

Ron Zitzow

From: Bjerke, Ryan (DNR) <ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us>


Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2024 10:37 AM

To: Ron Z. <huntinigo@hotmail.com>; David Olig <davidjolic@gmail.com>; Keith Brokke <rotarian.keith@gmail.com>


Cc: daleajans@gmail.com <daleajans@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <cgabrielson26@gmail.com>; Roger Fenstad <rlfenstad@gmail.com>; Justin Carney <jmcarney12@gmail.com>; JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL <dostals1@msn.com>; Rob Campbell <rdcdoc01@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <chad@bigmcdonaldresort.com>; judithjohnson771@gmail.com <judithjohnson771@gmail.com>; Cyndy Wedryk <Cyd1950@aol.com>; Waylon Bertsch <waylonbertsch@gmail.com>

Subject: RE: County Ditch 25

Good morning, Ron. To the best of my knowledge, Wendt Lake is a natural water feature and not a reservoir created by a dam. One of the earliest written records of the lake is from the US General Land Office (GLO) Plat Survey that established township and section lines in 1858 and 1860, respectively. Wendt Lake is a meandered lake, meaning its boundaries were surveyed by the GLO. The lake is circled in red in the screenshot below from the Edna Township plat map (full township map attached):



You're correct that a field road exists along the lake's southern edge and across the outlet, which is circled in green in the screenshot below:



If the drainage authority modified the culvert under the field road at the outlet of Wendt Lake, that would very likely have required a DNR public waters work permit; to the best of my knowledge, that did not occur in conjunction with the repair work in the mid-2010s. The attached 1939 air photo shows that the field road and, undoubtedly, a culvert underneath it, was in existence at that time. The drainage authority may have information about the field road and culvert if it was in existence at the time the ditch was constructed.

Ryan Bjerke

Area Hydrologist | Ecological & Water Resources

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

1509 1st Ave. N

Fergus Falls, MN 56537

Cell: 218-770-1480

Email: ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us

From: Ron Z. <huntinigo@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2024 1:09 PM
To: Bjerke, Ryan (DNR) <ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us>; David Olig <davidjolic@gmail.com>; Keith Brokke <rotarian.keith@gmail.com>
Cc: daleajans@gmail.com; Chad Gabrielson <cgabrielson26@gmail.com>; Roger Fenstad <rlfenstad@gmail.com>; Justin Carney <jmcarney12@gmail.com>; JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL <dostals1@msn.com>; Rob Campbell <rdcdoc01@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <chad@bigmcdonaldresort.com>; judithjohnson771@gmail.com; Cyndy Wedryk <Cyd1950@aol.com>; Waylon Bertsch <waylonbertsch@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: County Ditch 25

Good Afternoon Ryan,

Thanks again for keeping us informed. I do have another question, do you know the history of Wendt Lake? If not, where might I inquire for more information? I see mention of the lake on a map from back in the mid to late 1940's, but not finding anything else. I'm especially curious if this lake was created and if so when and why? In my travel to the lake to collect water samples, there seems to be a berm along the southern corner of Wendt Lake that looks like a dam. In the Houston Engineering report, there is reference to "the culvert under the field road at STA 3+68 approximately 3.3' above the ACSIC grade at its upstream end. This culvert was replaced in 2016...", pg. 2. The engineers report also states "We are unaware of documentation indicating the prior or installed culvert elevation."

If Wendt Lake was created after construction of Ditch 25 (in or around 1907), this seems counterproductive to construction of the ditch to reduce water levels in the upper drainage, especially if the resulting dam and culvert actually increased the water level in the lake.

Also, I question if this field road was actually approved at the time, or if it was one of those assumptions by the landowner that it was okay since it was their land.

Ron Zitzow

From: Bjerke, Ryan (DNR) <ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us>
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2024 9:39 AM
To: Ron Z. <huntinigo@hotmail.com>; David Olig <davidjolic@gmail.com>; Keith Brokke <rotarian.keith@gmail.com>
Cc: daleajans@gmail.com <daleajans@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <cgabrielson26@gmail.com>; Roger Fenstad <rlfenstad@gmail.com>; Justin Carney <jmcarney12@gmail.com>; JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL <dostals1@msn.com>; Rob Campbell <rdcdoc01@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <chad@bigmcdonaldresort.com>; judithjohnson771@gmail.com <judithjohnson771@gmail.com>; Cyndy Wedryk <Cyd1950@aol.com>; Waylon Bertsch <waylonbertsch@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: County Ditch 25

Good morning, Ron. The DNR would make the determination regarding impacts to public waters such as 56-333P, and would require a permit if drainage would result. It's unlikely a permit would be issued for such an activity. A separate Wetland Conservation Act (WCA) determination would be made by the TEP. Impacts to downstream

receiving waters and other natural resources are addressed in the portion of statute you cited and several parts of [Minnesota Rules, chapter 6115](#).

I'll keep you apprised when additional information is available. Thank you.

Ryan Bjerke

Area Hydrologist | Ecological & Water Resources

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

1509 1st Ave. N

Fergus Falls, MN 56537

Cell: 218-770-1480

Email: ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us



From: Ron Z. <huntinigo@hotmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, July 13, 2024 8:42 AM

To: Bjerke, Ryan (DNR) <ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us>; David Olig <davidjlig@gmail.com>; Keith Brokke <rotarian.keith@gmail.com>

Cc: daleajans@gmail.com; Chad Gabrielson <cgabrielson26@gmail.com>; Roger Fenstad <rlfenstad@gmail.com>; Justin Carney <jmcarney12@gmail.com>; JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL <dostals1@msn.com>; Rob Campbell <rdcdoc01@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <chad@bigmcdonaldresort.com>; judithjohnson771@gmail.com; Cyndy Wedryk <Cyd1950@aol.com>; Waylon Bertsch <waylonbertsch@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: County Ditch 25

Thankyou Ryan for the very informative feedback! So, if the WCA/TEP determines the repair work on Ditch 25 will impact the wetlands (public waters), the repair work will require a permit, which requires approval by the WCA, am I understanding this correctly?

One final question, did I miss something as I didn't see much in the statutes to provide protection for receiving waters (Big McDonald Lake)? Or does this statute provide the protection to prevent degradation of receiving waters:

103G.245 WORK IN PUBLIC WATERS.

Subd. 7. Effect on environment and mitigation.

(a) A public-waters-work permit may be issued only if the project will involve a minimum encroachment, change, or damage to the environment, particularly the ecology of the waterway

(b) If a major change in the resource is justified, public-waters-work permits must include provisions to compensate for the detrimental aspects of the change.

Thanks again for your feedback

Ron Zitzow

From: Bjerke, Ryan (DNR) <ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us>
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2024 2:34 PM
To: David Olig <davidjlig@gmail.com>; Keith Brokke <rotarian.keith@gmail.com>
Cc: Ron Z. <huntinigo@hotmail.com>; daleajans@gmail.com <daleajans@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <cgabrielson26@gmail.com>; Roger Fenstad <rlfenstad@gmail.com>; Justin Carney <jimcarney12@gmail.com>; JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL <dostals1@msn.com>; Rob Campbell <rdcdoc01@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <chad@bigmcdonaldresort.com>; judithjohnson771@gmail.com <judithjohnson771@gmail.com>; Cyndy Wedryk <Cyd1950@aol.com>; Waylon Bertsch <waylonbertsch@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: County Ditch 25

Ron et. al:

On 6/26/2024, the East Otter Tail WCA Technical Evaluation Panel (TEP), of which I'm a member, met to discuss implications for WCA wetlands and public waters as a result of the proposed repair. The county's drainage engineer from Houston Engineering participated in the meeting to provide input about the proposed repair and receive feedback from members of the TEP. Information from a WCA perspective can be solicited from County Wetland Inspector Cody Call, who works in the county's Department of Land & Resources Management. Other TEP members include East Otter Tail SWCD Manager Darren Newville and Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR – state agency) Wetland Specialist Steve Hofstad.

With respect to public waters impacts in the proposed project area, the focus was primarily on Public Water Basin 56-333P, which is the large wetland area that exists on both the north and south sides of CR 35. The proposed repair in that vicinity would not be in the basin itself, but through an area of upland where the ditch was originally excavated (circled in red below). Nevertheless, this could potentially cause partial drainage of the basin.



Without getting into the details too far, the existing ditch bottom elevation in the red circled area is important because it may control the water level of the basin on the north side of CR 35 and the northern portions of the wetland on the south side of CR 35; the water level in remainder of the basin south (downstream) of that location is controlled by the lowest culvert elevation in the channel under Big McDonald Lane, which, somewhat confusingly, is actually higher than the culvert under CR 35. The drainage engineer agreed that they had not collected enough survey data to definitively quantify impacts to the public water basin, so they will soon be obtaining additional survey data in the two areas noted in the attached email. Once those data are available, the TEP members will make a determination about both WCA and public waters impacts.

As you likely already realize, the drainage authority in Otter Tail County is the county board. The drainage inspector works for the county highway department and answers to the board, and both adhere to the fairly prescriptive language found in state drainage law, specifically [Minnesota Statutes 103E](#). If there are decisions made by the drainage authority that you'd like to affect, I'd recommend engaging directly with the board members, as they oversee the drainage inspector and are the ultimate decisionmakers for such proceedings.

I've encouraged earlier coordination about these type of proposals between the drainage authority and water regulatory agencies like the DNR, but it's incumbent upon the former to initiate contact for given proposal. When that doesn't happen the drainage authority often incurs significant costs to develop a proposal, only to learn much later in the process that the proposal is not or only partially feasible from a regulatory perspective. I can attest firsthand that this is often a source of controversy and certainly results in expenditure of substantial taxpayer money on behalf of the drainage authority and regulatory agencies.

The negative effects of large-scale drainage projects, both public and private, on water quality has been well documented, particularly in the intensively row cropped and drained agricultural watersheds across the state. In most of those areas, the primary cause of water quality impairments is altered hydrology—specifically, more water moving downstream faster. This delivers non-point source pollutants to receiving watercourses, wetlands, and lakes in greater loads at faster rates. Unfortunately, this is at direct odds with the effort to mitigate existing and prevent future water quality impairments, because altering hydrology in the aforementioned way is the main objective of nearly all drainage projects. All that said, it's of the utmost importance to evaluate each proposal on its own merits, as project specifications and the characteristics of water features vary widely.

Ryan Bjerke

Area Hydrologist | Ecological & Water Resources

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

1509 1st Ave. N

Fergus Falls, MN 56537

Cell: 218-770-1480

Email: ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us



From: David Olig <davidjolic@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, July 12, 2024 11:56 AM

To: Keith Brokke <rotarian.keith@gmail.com>

Cc: Ron Z. <huntinigo@hotmail.com>; Bjerke, Ryan (DNR) <ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us>; daleajans@gmail.com; Chad Gabrielson <cgabrielson26@gmail.com>; Roger Fenstad <rifenstad@gmail.com>; Justin Carney <jmcarney12@gmail.com>; JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL <dostals1@msn.com>; Rob Campbell <rdcdoc01@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <chad@bigmcdonaldresort.com>; judithjohnson771@gmail.com; Cyndy Wedryk <Cyd1950@aol.com>; Waylon Bertsch <waylonbertsch@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: County Ditch 25

Ron

Can we get the contact information from the county on all of the stakeholders associated with the drainage basin for the ditch. I'm wondering if a blast email to everyone involved may stir some support. Your thoughts? I really appreciated your points made in your recent email. Dave Olig.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 12, 2024, at 11:51 AM, Keith Brokke <rotarian.keith@gmail.com> wrote:

Good email, Ron.

Thanks 🙏

Keith

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 12, 2024, at 11:27 AM, Ron Z. <huntinigo@hotmail.com> wrote:

Good Morning Ryan,

Just wanted to see if there is any new information regarding our issue with the Ditch 25 authorities decision to repair Ditch 25?

I've been trying hard to provide information and alternatives to the Ditch Authority to persuade them to reconsider their decision to proceed with the repairs as suggested from their Houston Engineering Report, but there doesn't seem to be any chance to reverse the decision, in their minds. However, in the last response I received from Kurt Mortenson, he stated *"...the Minnesota DNR is currently reviewing to determine if permitting is necessary and has been submitted to the Technical Evaluation Panel (TEP) of other agencies."* I'm not sure who the other agencies are, but I am hopeful that a more favorable solution to protect the wetland basin south of County Rd 35 will come of the reviews. If permitting is required, to whom and/or where can we voice our concerns to ensure protection of the wetland? Personally, and as a representative of the Big McDonald LID, I request to deny any excavation work within the wetland area, for a couple of reasons:

1. The Houston Engineering Report states the repair work south of County Rd 35 will not impact the water elevations north of County Rd 35. So why waste tax payers dollars on a repair that won't fix the problem the farmers are faced with;
2. Opening up the channel in the wetland area will speed up the movement of water from the upper drainage through the wetland thus reducing the effectiveness of the wetland to filter out sediments, nutrients, and other impurities that have potential to affect the water quality in Big McDonald Lake. The benefit the Houston Engineering Report gives for doing the repair is it will reduce potential flooding during minor rain events. I don't see where that benefit is needed in this area during a minor rain event (we're not living in the Red River Valley).
3. Attempting to channelize the water through the wetland increases the potential for erosion within the wetland basin, and movement of sediments and other "nasties" down into Big McDonald Lake.

The Ditch Authority has the blinders on and are only acting on the responsibility they feel they have to maintain Ditch 25 to and the original

reason it was established. They aren't looking at the concerns of all the stakeholders in the drainage nor the opportunities to make the system function better. They don't seem to be concerned about the water quality issues their actions will have an impact on for the new majority of stakeholders (recreational).

Please keep us informed on any actions. I greatly appreciate your involvement and consideration of our concerns.

Ron Zitzow

From: Bjerke, Ryan (DNR) <ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 8:28 AM
To: rotarian.keith@gmail.com <rotarian.keith@gmail.com>
Cc: daleajans@gmail.com <daleajans@gmail.com>; 'Chad Gabrielson' <cgabrielson26@gmail.com>; 'David Olig' <davidjolog@gmail.com>; 'Roger Fenstad' <rlfenstad@gmail.com>; 'Justin Carney' <jmcarney12@gmail.com>; 'Ron Zitzow' <huntinigo@hotmail.com>; 'JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL' <dostals1@msn.com>; 'Rob Campbell' <rdcdoc01@gmail.com>; 'Chad Gabrielson' <chad@bigmcdonaldresort.com>; judithjohnson771@gmail.com <judithjohnson771@gmail.com>; 'Cyndy Wedryk' <Cyd1950@aol.com>; 'Waylon Bertsch' <waylonbertsch@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: County Ditch 25

Good morning, Keith. Last week I emailed county wetland officer Cody Call to see if the drainage authority had coordinated with him about implications for wetlands covered by the Wetland Conservation Act, both for the current repair proposal as well as for the repair that was completed several years ago. I have not heard back, but will let you know if enlightening feedback is received. As you know, I've provided substantial input to the drainage authority regarding potential impacts to public water basins and wetlands in the area of the proposed project. Thanks for your enthusiastic support of lake and wetland protection.

Ryan Bjerke

Area Hydrologist | Ecological & Water Resources
Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
1509 1st Ave. N
Fergus Falls, MN 56537
Cell: 218-770-1480
Email: ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us
<image001.png>

From: rotarian.keith@gmail.com <rotarian.keith@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:49 AM
To: Bjerke, Ryan (DNR) <ryan.bjerke@state.mn.us>
Cc: daleajans@gmail.com; 'Chad Gabrielson' <cgabrielson26@gmail.com>; 'David Olig' <davidjolic@gmail.com>; 'Roger Fenstad' <rlfenstad@gmail.com>; 'Justin Carney' <jmcarney12@gmail.com>; 'Ron Zitzow' <huntinigo@hotmail.com>; 'JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL' <dostals1@msn.com>; 'Rob Campbell' <rdcdoc01@gmail.com>; 'Chad Gabrielson' <chad@bigmcdonaldresort.com>; judithjohnson771@gmail.com; 'Cyndy Wedryk' <Cyd1950@aol.com>; 'Waylon Bertsch' <waylonbertsch@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: County Ditch 25

This message may be from an external email source.

Do not select links or open attachments unless verified. Report all suspicious emails to Minnesota IT Services Security Operations Center.

Good morning, Ryan!

Per our Big McDonald discussion found below, please advise according to President Ron Zitzow's email ... specifically regarding the last part of his email:

Hopefully, Ryan will include on the list of DNR concerns, the importance of protecting the wetlands. Somehow, I'm thinking there has to be some protection of those established wetlands through state and federal laws. Digging a ditch to attempt to lower the water would impact those wetlands.

Thank you, Ryan!

Keith Brokke
Big McDonald LID

From: Ron Zitzow <huntinigo@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 16, 2024 7:32 AM
To: Keith Brokke <rotarian.keith@gmail.com>
Cc: daleajans@gmail.com; Chad Gabrielson <cgabrielson26@gmail.com>; David Olig <davidjolic@gmail.com>; Roger Fenstad <rlfenstad@gmail.com>; Justin Carney <jmcarney12@gmail.com>; JEFF - DEANNE DOSTAL <dostals1@msn.com>; Rob Campbell <rdcdoc01@gmail.com>; Chad Gabrielson <chad@bigmcdonaldresort.com>; judithjohnson771@gmail.com; Cyndy Wedryk <Cyd1950@aol.com>; Waylon Bertsch <waylonbertsch@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: County Ditch 25

Before we proceed with a meeting with Vogel Law, we should get confirmation from the ditch authority as to what they approved as "maintenance". If they are including the repair as outlined in the engineers report, I'm on board with proceeding with contacting Vogel. If they nixed the repair and are only approving the removal of beaver dams, I think we need to take that into consideration. Maybe the ditch authority and Colby came to the realization that without changing the culvert depth at CRD 35 and Big McDonald Lane, they can't make water run uphill.

Happy Father's Day to all!
Ron Zitzow
Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 16, 2024, at 6:48 AM, Keith Brokke
<rotarian.keith@gmail.com> wrote:

Area hydrologist Ryan Bjerke called me at 6 PM on Friday night telling me he had had a nonproductive conversation with Colby Palmersheim. He is going to contact Colby again to explain DNR's objection to the counties actions.

Ryan advised that we have our attorney at the Vogel law firm advise us on a partial abandonment of ditch 25. He stated that he does not know all the rulings on a partial abandoned vent, but only can advise on a full abandonment. He also stated that, the abandonment of ditch 49 in south east otter tail county was defeated by the Otter Tail County commission as the lake there didn't have all their ducks in a row. Ryan stated that if you don't have all your T's crossed and I's dotted, the abandonment would likely fail.

I feel we should have a zoom meeting with the LID board and with our Vogel attorney.

Keith

Sent from my iPhone